

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/676,949	09/30/2003	Atsushi Kakemura	088485-2535 7857 EXAMINER	
23392	7590 06/21/2006			
FOLEY & I		SHAPIRO, LEONID		
2029 CENTU SUITE 3500	JRY PARK EAST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067			2629	
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antique Comment	10/676,949	KAKEMURA, ATSUSHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leonid Shapiro	2629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 S This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-4,6-18 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 5 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D. 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1-2,6,12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kung Pub. No.: US 2002/0190920 A1).

As to claim 1, Kung teaches an information processing apparatus for performing communication with an external device which displays received image data (See paragraph 0005), the apparatus comprising:

means for generating second screen image data (in reference is equivalent to a first image signal) from first screen image data (in reference is equivalent to a second image signal), resolution of the second screen image data being lower than that of the first screen image data (See paragraph 0006);

display device which displays the generated second screen image data (See Figs. 1-2, item 18, paragraph 0010); and

means for transmitting the first screen image data to the external device (See Figs. 1-2, items 22-24, paragraph 0010).

As to claim 12, Kung teaches an system for displaying information (See paragraph 0005) comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/676,949

Art Unit: 2629

an information processing apparatus including (See paragraph 0005):

Page 3

- (a) a memory for storing first and second screen image data (See Fig. 2, item 16);
- (b) a program executable said information processing apparatus for converting first screen image data (in reference is equivalent to a second image signal) into second screen image data (in reference is equivalent to a first image signal), said second screen image data having a size smaller than that of said first screen image data (See paragraph 0006);
- © a display for displaying said second screen image data (See Fig. 2, item 18, paragraph 0006); and
- (d) a transmitter for wirelessly transmitting said first screen image data (See Fig. 2, item 22, paragraph 0006); and
 - a display device comprising:
- (e) a receiving unit for receiving said first screen image data transmitted by said transmitter of said information processing apparatus (See Fig. 1, items 30,36, paragraph 0006); and
- (f) a display unit for displaying said first screen image data (See Fig. 1, item 30, paragraph 0006).

As to claims 2,15-16, Kung teaches means for reducing the first screen image data in order to generate the second screen image data (See Fig. 2, items 12,14,16,18,20,26, paragraph 0006 and 0010).

Art Unit: 2629

As to claims 6,13 Kung teaches second screen image data is displayed simultaneously with transmitting of the first screen image data. (See Fig. 2, items 22-23, paragraphs 0006 and 0010).

As to claim 14, Kung teaches a method of transmitting image data to an external device from an information processing apparatus (See paragraph 0005), the method comprising:

generating second screen image data (in reference is equivalent to a first image signal), which corresponds to a display resolution of a display device of the information processing apparatus, from first screen image data (in reference is equivalent to a second image signal) (See paragraph 0006), and;

transmitting the first screen image data to the external device (See Figs. 1-2, items 22,30, paragraphs 0010 and 0013).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 7-9,20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kung.

As to claims 7-9, Kung teaches a memory storage area for storing said first screen image data; said generating means includes a converter for converting the first

screen image data into said second screen image data by reducing the size of said first screen image data; a memory storage area for storing said second screen image data, and said transmitting means acquiring said first screen image data from said memory for transmitting same to said external device (See Fig. 2, paragraphs 0006 and 0010).

Kung does not disclose first and second memory storage areas.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to separate Kung memory in two parts in order to simplify access to the memory. This separation could be done by one ordinary skill in the art without the exercise of inventive skill.

As to claim 20, Kung teaches a method of transmitting image data to an external device from information processing apparatus (See paragraph 0005), the method comprising the steps of:

generating first screen image data (in reference is equivalent to a second image signal) (See Fig. 2, items 16,20,26, paragraph 0010);

storing said first and second screen image data in memory storage area (See Fig. 2, item 14, paragraph 0010);

generating second screen image data (in reference is equivalent to a first image signal), which corresponds to a display resolution of the information processing apparatus, from first screen image data (in reference is equivalent to a second image signal), resolution of the second screen image data being lower than that of the first screen image data (See paragraph 0006);

accessing memory storage area for displaying second screen image data on the display device and accessing memory storage area for transmitting the first screen image data to the external device.

Kung does not disclose first and second memory storage areas.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to separate Kung memory in two parts in order to simplify access to the memory. This separation could be done by one ordinary skill in the art without the exercise of inventive skill.

3. Claims 3-4,17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kung as applied to claims 1,14 above, and further in view of Picoult et al. (US Patent No. 6,654,601 B2).

As to claims 3-4,17-18, Kung teaches updating first screen image all the time (See Fig. 2, items 22-23, paragraphs 0006 and 0010).

Kung does not disclose wireless communication.

Picoult et al. teaches wireless communication between PDA and projectors (See Fig. 3, Col. 6, Lines 35-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate teachings of Picoult et al. into Kung system in order to control appliances remotely (see Col. 1, Lines 17-22 in Picoult et al. reference).

As to claims 10-11, Kung teaches information processing apparatus comprises a portable apparatus having a presentation function and said external device comprises a projector, said first screen image data having a size suitable for display by said projector and said second screen image data having a size suitable for display by said display of portable apparatus (See Fig. 2, items 22-23, paragraphs 0006 and 0010).

Kung does not disclose wireless communication.

Picoult et al. teaches wireless communication between PDA and projectors (See Fig. 3, Col. 6, Lines 35-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate teachings of Picoult et al. into Kung system in order to control appliances remotely (see Col. 1, Lines 17-22 in Picoult et al. reference).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 5,19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The major difference between the teaching of the prior art of record (Kung, Picoult et al.) and the instant invention means for detecting external devices that are present within a wireless communication range of the information processing apparatus; means for displaying a list of the detected external devices on the display device; and

Art Unit: 2629

means for selecting an external device from the list, and wherein the transmitting means includes a wireless communication device which transmits the first screen image data to the external device selected from the list.

Telephone Inquire

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid Shapiro whose telephone number is 571-272-7683. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 a.m. to 5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,949

Art Unit: 2629

06.18.06

RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 9